

Non-lawyer Quin is simply (though perhaps understandably) mistaken as to the notion that Wells Fargo can be charged with deprivation of his federal constitutional rights under any of 42 U.S.C. §§1983, 1985 and 1986, as Complaint ¶1 asserts. Wells Fargo is not a “state actor” so as to come within the scope of Section 1983, nor does the conduct that Quin ascribes to Wells Fargo come within the purview of either Section 1985 or 1986.

Accordingly Quin has not even arguably invoked federal subject matter jurisdiction, and both the Complaint and this action are dismissed, while Quin's accompanying In Forma Pauperis Application is denied as moot. This Court expresses no view as to the substance of Quin's claimed grievance, so that this dismissal is without prejudice to his possible advancement of a claim against Wells Fargo in a state court of competent jurisdiction.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Milton I. Shadur". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial 'M'.

---

Milton I. Shadur  
Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 7, 2016